

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/691,711	10/23/2003	Rolf Banholzer	01-1148-2-C2	2056		
28501 MICHAEL P.	7590 03/31/200 MORRIS	9	EXAM	EXAMINER		
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM USA CORPORATION			COPPINS	COPPINS, JANET L		
900 RIDGEBURY ROAD P. O. BOX 368		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	, CT 06877-0368		1626	1626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/31/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/691.711 BANHOLZER ET AL. Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit

	JANET L. COPPINS	1626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
This application is abandoned in view of:							
<ul> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>25 September 2008</u>.</li> <li>A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on</li> </ul>							
(b) 🔲 A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.							
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).							
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).							
(d) No reply has been received.							
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8</li> </ol>		the statutory period	of three months				
<ul> <li>(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on(with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>							
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.							
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$							
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.							
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).							
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> <li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated	), which is				
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.							
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of				
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity u	nder 37 CFR				
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair</li> </ol>		e the period for see	king court review				
7. 🛮 The reason(s) below:							
In the telephone conversation of March 26, 2009 with Applicants' Attorney, Wendy Petka, Reg. No. 53,459, the Examiner confirmed that Applicants would not be responding.							
	/RELISANG SHIAO /						

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office